	Case 2:20-cv-00593-KJM-JDP Documer	nt 19 Filed 11/16/20 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	RANDY AUSBORN,	No. 2:20-cv-593-KJM-JDP (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>	
14	CHCF, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief		
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided		
19	by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
20	On October 7, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which		
21	were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings		
22	and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to		
23	the findings and recommendations.		
24			
25	602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed		
26	de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law		
27	by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court		
28	/////	1	

	Case 2:20-cv-00593-KJM-JDP Document 19 Filed 11/16/20 Page 2 of 2	
1	"). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be	
2	supported by the record and by the proper a	
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
4	1. The findings and recommendations filed October 7, 2020, are adopted in full; and	
5	2. This action is dismissed without prejudice for the reasons set forth in the April 23,	
6	2020 screening order (ECF No. 8).	
7	DATED: November 13, 2020.	
8	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
9	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		